Dixon Mill Road Design-Build Bridge Replacement

Moderated by: Mary Ellen Kimberlin, P.E., P.S.

Innovative Project Development

- Design Build
- Local Let
- County Engineers Association of Ohio, LBR Federal Funding
- Advanced Sale with State Infrastructure Bank Financing
- Minimize Cost to County

Existing Structure



Background

- Scioto County Engineer's Bridge
- Structurally deficient truss
- Sufficiency rating of 1.0 SD
- When new, 15 ton capacity
- \$1.3 million too expensive for Issue 2
- Traditional project development engineering too expensive

Design Build

- Straightforward bridge replacement project
- Accelerated Schedule
- Design fees eligible for federal funding
- Lump Sum
- Most Cost-effective Design

Local Let

- Scioto County Engineer, Clyde S. Willis, experienced in Construction Project Management
- Scioto County Engineer has In-House capability to Design Roadway and Rightof-Way Plans

CEAO LBR Funds

- County Engineers Association of Ohio administers the Federal Local Bridge Program
- Programmed projects often slip back from anticipated sale date
- "Soft Match" available for Scioto County

State Infrastructure Bank

- Plan to advance sale of project and re-pay SIB loan with federal funds
 - CEAO previously had not been permitted to do this

- May 2003
 - Scioto County and ODOT officials met at site to preview the scope of work
 - No significant complications identified

• Summer 2003

- Project programmed
- Funding application CEAO LBR accepted

95% federal funds, 5% County

 Site plan and right-of-way plan prepared by Scioto County Engineer

- Fall 2003
 - Environmental screening completed by ODOT District 9
 - Right-of-way plans approved

- Winter 2003/2004
 - Right-of-way acquisition by M-E Companies using task order agreement
 - First SIB Loan Application

Spring 2004
 SIB Loan Terms: Rejected by Scioto County

 No repayment of loan with federal funds
 No early pay-off of loan without penalty
 Rate schedule not competitive

• Spring 2004

- Scioto County passes emergency resolution
- Scioto County begs ODOT to reconsider SIB terms
- Scioto County begs CEAO to advance project

- Summer 2004 One year after Scoping
 - Right-of-way cleared
 - PS&E Package prepared and submitted to ODOT District 9
 - Wait, wait, wait

- Fall 2004
 - Andrew Gall returns to ODOT as Chief-of-Staff
 - Scioto County Engineer meets with ODOT Officials to try SIB Loan approach to advance sale of project and re-pay with federal funds
 SUCCESS!!!

Winter 2004/2005
 Second SIB Loan Application
 CEAO signs loan agreement

- Summer 2005 2 years after Scoping
 - SIB Loan Approved
 - Project Advertised
 - Contract awarded to C J Mahan Construction Company and Janssen & Spaans Engineering
 Design begins

Summer 2006
 Construction

 To be completed October 2006
 No Contract Modifications!

Keys to Success

Commitment of County Engineer Clyde S. Willis Preliminary Engineering of Site Good Scope Document • Lump Sum Design Build Contract Collaboration with District 9 Support of ODOT Central Office

Scope Document

- Pre-Qualification of Design-Build Team:
 - "It is a requirement that each member of the DBT has successfully completed at least one similar design build bridge project."

Scope Document

Scope of Work

 "...The proposed structure shall be a simple span ... the structure type shall be limited to prestressed or cast-in-place concrete, or galvanized steel girders as approved by the Scioto County Engineer prior to award of the contract. The design criteria shall be HS20-44 with Alternate Military Loading...."

Special thanks to:

- Todd Long, P.E., Planning Administrator,
 ODOT District 9
- Andrew Gall, ODOT Chief-of-Staff
- Glenn Sprowls, Executive Director, CEAO



Dixon Mill Road Design-Build Bridge Replacement Innovative Design Features

Presented by: C. Brian Slagle, P.E., S.E. Janssen & Spaans Engineering



Challenges

- Design Build
- 200' Simple Span
- Bridge Type Selection
- Transportation / Handling Issues with Site
- Construction



Design Build

- Scope of Work
 - Provided Profile
 - Span Criteria (simple 200' span)
 - Design loading: HS20-44 + 60 psf FWS
 - Superstructure Type Option



200' Simple Span

- Structure Depth Limitations
 - Controlling Deflections
 - Limited Feasible Options for Simple Spans of this Length
- Need for multi-piece (spliced) beam system
 - Falsework required



Bridge Type Selection

Prepare 2 Alternate Designs:
 103" Spliced Post-Tensioned Bulb-Tee
 96" Steel Plate Girder



Bridge Type Selection

- 103" Spliced Post-Tensioned Bulb Tee
 - 4 Beam Line System
 - System Composed of Splicing Two Beam Sections
 - Falsework Required for Temporary Support

- 96" Steel Plate Girder
 - 3 Girder Line System
 - System Composed of Splicing Three Beam Sections
 - Falsework Required (Potentially)
 - 315,000 lbs Total Structural Steel Weight



Design Considerations

- Semi-Integral Abutments
- Future Deck Removal
- Post-Tensioning Loss Calculations
- Semi-Lightweight Concrete
- Multi-Staged Post-Tensioning
- Stay-In-Place Galvanized Steel Deck Forms



Transportation / Handling Issues with Site

- Beam Piece Lengths limited for Transportation to Site - Weight
- Superelevation along Route
- Staging Area Constraints within ROW
- Handling Beam Sections on Site



Construction

Innovations

- 103" Spliced Bulb Tee
- Swept Post-Tensioned Ducts in Bottom Flange
- Multi-Staged Post-Tensioning
- Semi Lightweight Concrete
- No Beam Fillets



103" Spliced Bulb Tees



Typical Ducts

	·→C	۲.	B	
	[
	<u>SE</u>		SECTION C	
кбанда 18.792: 2017 В.792: 2017 В.792: 2017 В.792: 2017 В.792: 2017	42730 2№ 1 47/27A 2№"1 № 4ξ8 № 1 me-S20 № 1 	JANSSEN & SPAANS ENGINEERING, Two. (UNRULTING TOTALTS 1712 (NARKIDAL NUK CUL) NUKLAPOLI TOANG ALTIE 8.47 (31) (TAU-985 166 (31) (TAU-985	1020102	2010 1000 360,711 PREAM WHERE 360,711 PREAM WHERE 360,711 Set 2000 360,711 Set 2000 360,711 Set 2000 360,711 Set 2000

Swept Ducts


Swept Ducts



Construction

- Demolition of Existing Structure
- Abutments
- Temporary Falsework
- Beam Erection
- Repair of Damaged Beam
- Closure Pour
- Stage One Post-Tensioning





Demolition



Demolition



















Temporary Falsework



Temporary Falsework





























Closure Pour



Closure Pour














Stage One Post-Tensioning



Stage One Post-Tensioning









Questions?

